Measuring the Impact of Structured Technology Routines on

Student Independence in Kindergarten

A Review of the Literature

Angela M. Rios Zuluaga

Lamar University - EDLD 5315

Dr. Kristi Meeuwse

March 01/ 2026

Introduction

The integration of educational technology in early childhood classrooms has become increasingly common; however, the effectiveness of these initiatives depends largely on how intentionally they are designed, implemented, and measured. In kindergarten settings, technology is frequently introduced to support engagement and skill development, yet many implementations emphasize access and frequency of use rather than meaningful instructional impact. Consequently, educators often struggle to determine whether technology initiatives genuinely foster student independence or simply add procedural complexity to classroom routines (National Association for the Education of Young Children [NAEYC] & Fred Rogers Center, 2012).

This issue is particularly significant in early childhood education, where students are still developing foundational self-regulation, problem-solving abilities, and independent learning behaviors. Measuring the impact of technology initiatives in kindergarten requires developmentally appropriate strategies that prioritize observable classroom behaviors rather than traditional assessment methods such as student self-reporting. Research indicates that indicators such as time on task, frequency of teacher interruptions, structured observations, and student work artifacts provide more accurate insight into how young learners interact with digital tools within authentic classroom contexts (Blackwell et al., 2014; McAfee et al., 2016).

My interest in this topic emerges directly from my professional context as a kindergarten teacher implementing a structured digital routine through my innovation plan, *Tech Time: My Time in Kindergarten*. This innovation was designed to promote student independence during math instruction by incorporating consistent routines, visual supports, and QR code access to digital

learning activities. While early implementation revealed improvements in classroom flow and student engagement, it also highlighted the need for a systematic measurement framework to determine whether these improvements translated into meaningful gains in student independence (Zimmerman, 2002; Blair & Raver, 2015).

The purpose of this literature review is to synthesize existing research on educational technology integration, early childhood learning environments, and developmentally appropriate measurement strategies in order to inform the measurement framework of my action research plan. Specifically, this review examines how technology initiatives have been defined, implemented, and evaluated in early childhood settings, identifies strengths and limitations in existing measurement approaches, and highlights gaps in kindergarten-specific research. Guided by this body of literature, the following research question frames the current study: *To what extent do structured digital routines improve student independence during kindergarten math instruction?*

Sources for this review were identified through the Lamar University Online Library and education-focused databases, including ERIC, with priority given to peer-reviewed research related to early childhood technology integration and developmentally appropriate measurement strategies.

Definition of Technology Integration in Early Childhood

Technology integration in early childhood education extends beyond the mere presence of digital devices and refers to the intentional incorporation of technology to support clearly defined learning goals, instructional practices, and student development. Across the literature, effective

technology integration is consistently distinguished from simple access, emphasizing instead the alignment between digital tools, pedagogy, and developmental readiness (Puentedura, 2014; NAEYC & Fred Rogers Center, 2012). In kindergarten classrooms, this distinction is especially critical because young learners require structured guidance and predictable routines to engage meaningfully with digital tools.

Researchers describe technology integration in early childhood as a process that aligns digital tools with pedagogy, curriculum, and learner needs. Harapnuik, Thibodeaux, and Cummings (2018) emphasize that meaningful integration occurs when technology supports learner ownership, engagement, and purposeful activity rather than passive consumption. From this perspective, technology becomes a tool for fostering independence and problem-solving when embedded within consistent classroom routines and clear expectations.

In early childhood settings, effective technology integration must also consider students' cognitive, social, and emotional development. Darling-Hammond et al. (2014) note that technology initiatives are most successful when they are intentionally designed to support equitable access, scaffold learning, and reduce barriers to participation. For kindergarten students, this means that technology integration should prioritize simplicity, predictability, and opportunities for independent practice within authentic instructional contexts (Blackwell et al., 2014).

Collectively, these perspectives suggest that technology integration in early childhood is most effective when embedded within structured routines that intentionally support independence and engagement.

Types of Technology Integration in Early Childhood

The literature identifies multiple approaches to technology integration in early childhood classrooms, which vary based on the level of teacher guidance, structure, and alignment with instructional goals. Understanding these different types is essential for evaluating how technology influences student behavior and learning outcomes, particularly in kindergarten settings where developmentally appropriate practices are critical (Horn & Staker, 2015).

While teacher-directed and student-centered approaches offer distinct advantages, the literature increasingly suggests that structured digital routines may provide a balanced framework that gradually releases responsibility to young learners while maintaining instructional coherence. This progression highlights the importance of examining how structured routines specifically influence student independence in kindergarten settings.

Teacher-Directed Technology Integration

Teacher-directed technology integration is characterized by structured activities where the teacher controls the content, pacing, and use of digital tools. In early childhood classrooms, this approach is often used for modeling skills, introducing concepts, or providing guided practice. While teacher-directed technology can support engagement and ensure alignment with curriculum goals, research suggests that overreliance on this model may limit opportunities for students to develop independence and self-regulation (Darling-Hammond et al., 2014). As a result, measurement of this type of integration often focuses on engagement and instructional efficiency rather than student autonomy.

Student-Centered Technology Integration

Student-centered technology integration emphasizes learner choice, exploration, and active participation. In this model, students interact with digital tools more independently, using technology to practice skills, solve problems, or engage with content at their own pace. Dede (2014) argues that digital technologies are most effective when they promote deeper learning through active engagement rather than passive exposure to content. This perspective aligns closely with student-centered models that position learners as active participants in meaningful instructional experiences.

Harapnuik et al. (2018) further highlight that student-centered environments support ownership and meaningful learning when expectations and routines are clearly established. In kindergarten settings, this approach requires careful scaffolding to ensure that independence is supported rather than overwhelming for young learners, particularly as self-regulation skills are still developing (Zimmerman, 2002).

Structured Digital Routines

Structured digital routines represent a balanced approach that combines teacher guidance with opportunities for independent practice. According to the literature, routines that incorporate visual supports, consistent access procedures, and clear expectations help young learners navigate technology more confidently and independently (Puentedura, 2014; Horn & Staker, 2015). This type of integration is particularly relevant in early childhood classrooms, as it provides predictability while gradually releasing responsibility to students. Measurement strategies associated with structured digital routines often focus on observable behaviors such as time on task, frequency of teacher interruptions, and successful task completion.

Advantages of Using Structured Technology Routines in Early Childhood

The literature highlights several advantages of implementing structured technology routines in early childhood classrooms, particularly when these routines are intentionally aligned with instructional goals and developmental needs. One of the most significant advantages is the support these routines provide for student independence. When young learners are given consistent procedures, visual support, and predictable access to digital tools, they are better able to navigate technology with reduced reliance on teacher assistance. Research suggests that structured routines help students develop self-regulation and confidence, which are foundational skills in early learning environments (Harapnuik et al., 2018; Zimmerman, 2002; Blair & Raver, 2015).

Another advantage frequently noted in the literature is improved student engagement and time on task. Studies examining technology integration in elementary classrooms indicate that when expectations are clear and routines are well established, students are more likely to remain focused and actively participate in assigned activities (Bebell & Kay, 2010; Emmer & Sabornie, 2015). In kindergarten settings, where attention spans are still developing, structured digital routines can minimize downtime and confusion, allowing students to engage more meaningfully with learning activities.

Structured technology routines also benefit teachers by reducing instructional interruptions and supporting more effective use of small-group instruction. Darling-Hammond et al. (2014) emphasize that technology initiatives are most effective when they are designed to enhance, rather than disrupt, instructional practices. When students are able to access and complete digital

activities independently, teachers can dedicate more attention to targeted instruction and observation.

Barriers to Implementing Technology in Early Childhood

Despite the documented benefits of technology integration in early childhood classrooms, the literature also identifies several barriers that can limit its effectiveness. One of the most frequently cited challenges is the lack of structured routines and clear expectations for technology use. When digital tools are introduced without consistent procedures, young learners may become confused, overly dependent on adult assistance, or disengaged from instructional tasks (Darling-Hammond et al., 2014).

Another significant barrier discussed in the literature is the developmental readiness of young learners. Early childhood students vary widely in their cognitive, emotional, and behavioral development, which can impact their ability to use technology independently. Without appropriate scaffolding, students may struggle to navigate digital tools, leading to frustration and increased reliance on teacher support. Bebell and Kay (2010) note that technology initiatives are less effective when they do not account for developmental differences or provide gradual release of responsibility.

A further barrier identified in the research relates to teacher preparation and instructional capacity. Effective technology integration requires educators to move beyond simply providing access to devices and toward designing meaningful, structured learning experiences. Mertler (2020) emphasizes that without clear measurement strategies and reflective practices, teachers may struggle to determine whether technology initiatives are improving learning or unintentionally creating new challenges.

These challenges reinforce the need for intentional instructional design and systematic measurement strategies when evaluating technology initiatives in early childhood classrooms.

Measurement of Structured Technology Routines in Early Childhood

The literature emphasizes that measuring technology initiatives in early childhood classrooms requires developmentally appropriate approaches that capture authentic classroom behaviors rather than relying on traditional survey-based or self-report methods. Because young learners often lack the metacognitive awareness and language skills necessary for reliable self-assessment, researchers consistently recommend observational and teacher-generated data sources as primary measures (Mertler, 2020; Wortham, 2012; McAfee et al., 2016). These approaches align closely with action research methodologies, which prioritize systematic, classroom-based data collection and triangulation.

One commonly supported measurement strategy in the literature is the use of structured teacher observations and checklists. Observation-based instruments enable educators to document student behaviors such as engagement, independence, and task completion in real time. Bebell and Kay (2010) note that teacher observations provide valuable insight into how students interact with digital tools and how technology influences instructional flow.

Time on task and frequency of teacher interruptions are also frequently cited as effective indicators for evaluating technology initiatives. Studies suggest that when technology routines are well designed, students are more likely to remain engaged and require fewer instances of teacher assistance (Darling-Hammond et al., 2014). These indicators are particularly useful in kindergarten classrooms, where small changes in independence can have a significant impact on classroom management and instructional efficiency.

In addition to observational data, the literature supports the use of student work artifacts as supplementary evidence when evaluating technology integration. Digital task completion records, screenshots, or activity logs can provide concrete evidence of student participation and engagement without emphasizing performance outcomes. Harapnuik et al. (2018) highlight that combining multiple data sources strengthens the validity of findings through triangulation.

The convergence of observational data, behavioral indicators, and classroom artifacts suggests that structured digital routines can be evaluated using a multi-measure framework grounded in real-time classroom evidence. This methodological alignment directly informs the design of the current action research study.

Summary and implications

This review of the literature examined research related to technology integration in early childhood classrooms, with a particular emphasis on structured digital routines and their role in promoting student independence. Across the literature, technology integration is consistently defined as an intentional instructional practice rather than mere access to digital tools. Effective implementation requires alignment with pedagogy, developmental readiness, and clearly established classroom routines.

A central contribution of this body of research is its emphasis on developmentally appropriate measurement strategies. The literature supports the use of observational data, time on task, frequency of teacher interruptions, and student work artifacts as reliable indicators of engagement and independence in early childhood settings. These measures align with action research principles and provide practical tools for classroom-based evaluation.

Despite these strengths, several gaps remain. Much of the existing research focuses on upper elementary or secondary grade levels, with limited attention to kindergarten contexts. Furthermore, student independence is often examined as a secondary outcome rather than as a primary variable of interest. As a result, there is a need for research that directly measures independence within structured digital routines in early childhood classrooms.

The current study addresses this gap by examining structured digital routines within an authentic kindergarten math environment and by employing observable, developmentally appropriate indicators to evaluate changes in student independence. By grounding instructional innovation in systematic measurement, this research seeks to contribute both practical insights for educators and a clearer framework for evaluating technology initiatives in early childhood settings.

References

Bebell, D., & Kay, R. (2010). *One to one computing: A summary of the quantitative results from the Berkshire Wireless Learning Initiative. Journal of Technology, Learning, and Assessment, 9*(2), 1–60. https://ejournals.bc.edu/index.php/jtla/article/view/1605

Blackwell, C. K., Lauricella, A. R., & Wartella, E. (2014). Factors influencing digital technology use in early childhood education. *Computers & Education, 77*, 82–90. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2014.04.013

Blair, C., & Raver, C. C. (2015). School readiness and self-regulation: A developmental psychobiological approach. *Annual Review of Psychology, 66*, 711–731. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-010814-015221

Darling-Hammond, L., Zielezinski, M. B., & Goldman, S. (2014). *Using technology to support at-risk students' learning*. Stanford Center for Opportunity Policy in Education. https://edpolicy.stanford.edu/library/publications/using-technology-support-risk-students-learning

Dede, C. (2014). The role of digital technologies in deeper learning. *Students at the Center:*

    Deeper Learning Research Series. Jobs for the Future.

    https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED561254.pdf

Emmer, E. T., & Sabornie, E. J. (2015). Introduction to the second edition. In E. T. Emmer & E.

    J. Sabornie (Eds.), *Handbook of classroom management* (2nd ed., pp. 1–18). Routledge.

Harapnuik, D., Thibodeaux, T., & Cummings, C. (2018). Creating significant learning

    environments. *Learning and Teaching Innovations*.

    https://www.harapnuik.org/?page_id=858

Horn, M. B., & Staker, H. (2015). *Blended: Using disruptive innovation to improve schools*.

    Jossey-Bass.

McAfee, O., Leong, D. J., & Bodrova, E. (2016). *Assessing and guiding young children's*

    development and learning (6th ed.). Pearson.

Mertler, C. A. (2020). *Action research: Improving schools and empowering educators* (6th ed.).

    SAGE Publications.

National Association for the Education of Young Children [NAEYC], & Fred Rogers Center for

    Early Learning and Children's Media. (2012). *Technology and interactive media as tools*

    in early childhood programs serving children from birth through age 8.

    https://www.naeyc.org/resources/position-statements/technology-and-interactive-media

Puentedura, R. R. (2014). *SAMR: A contextualized introduction*. Hippasus.

    http://www.hippasus.com/rrpweblog/archives/000095.html

Wortham, S. C. (2012). *Assessment in early childhood education* (6th ed.). Pearson.

Zimmerman, B. J. (2002). Becoming a self-regulated learner: An overview. *Theory Into Practice,*

    41(2), 64–70. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15430421tip4102_2